International Journal of Spectrum Research in Education & Humanities (IJSREH)
1(3), July-September, 2025, Pages 60-65

ISSN: 3092-9539

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16777639

The Symbiotic Nexus: Instructional Videos as Catalysts for Heutagogy in 21st Century Learning

Tyonyion Richard Sughnen[1], Dr. Muhammad Jamil Zakari[2] & Aisha Ramalan[3]

[1]Instructional Technology, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, tyonyion.trs@gmail.com
[2]Federal College of Education (Technical) Keana, Nasarawa State, muhdjamilzakari77@gmail.com
[3]Instructional Technology, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Ramalanaisha1@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The 21st century demands learners capable of self-determined and lifelong learning which is professionally called heutagogy. Concurrently, instructional videos have proliferated the internet thereby becoming ubiquitous learning resources. This paper explores the critical role of instructional videos in facilitating and enhancing heutagogical practices. Through a comprehensive review of literature, historical development, theoretical foundations, and practical applications, we argue that well-designed instructional videos are not merely passive content delivery tools but powerful enablers of learner autonomy, capability development, and metacognition. The paper examines the alignment between video affordances (accessibility, flexibility, pause/replay, multimodal presentation, and multisensory engagement) and heutagogical principles (learner agency, double-loop learning, self-reflection). It details diverse applications across formal and informal learning contexts, while acknowledging significant challenges including passive consumption risks, digital divides, content quality variance, and metacognitive demands. Best practices for designing heutagogically-aligned videos (micro-learning, interactivity, reflection prompts, UDL) are presented. The conclusion emphasizes that instructional videos, when leveraged strategically, are indispensable tools for cultivating the self-determined learners essential for navigating the complexities of the modern world. Recommendations focus on design imperatives, teacher roles, learner support, and equitable access.

Keywords: Heutagogy, Self-Determined Learning, Instructional Videos, Video-Based Learning, 21st-Century Skills, Learner Autonomy, Lifelong Learning.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid pace of technological advancement, information explosion, and evolving workplace demands characterize the 21st century, necessitating a paradigm shift in education. Moving beyond pedagogy (teacher-directed) and andragogy (adult learner-focused), consequently, heutagogy, or self-determined learning, emerges as a critical framework. Heutagogy emphasizes the learner's central role in determining not just how but what and why they learn, thereby fostering capabilities like critical thinking, adaptability, and metacognition (Hase and Kenyon, 2000, 2013). Concurrently, digital technologies, particularly instructional videos, have revolutionized content access and delivery. Platforms like Vimeo, MetaCafe, YouTube, Khan Academy, MOOCs, and institutional repositories offer vast libraries of learning resources. This paper investigates the symbiotic relationship between these two forces: how instructional videos uniquely serve as catalysts and lay solid foundations for heutagogical practices. We posit that understanding and optimizing this relationship is paramount for empowering learners to thrive in an era defined by uncertainty and continuous learning demands where one applicant is expected to have more than twenty skills and these skills are not taught in universities. This implies that the 21st century learner has to take some steps further to equip him/herself to satisfactorily thrive in this generation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing research primarily examines instructional videos through lenses of cognitive load (Sweller et al., 2011), multimedia learning principles (Mayer's Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning 1973), and specific pedagogical applications like flipped classrooms (Bishop and Verleger, 2013). Studies consistently highlight benefits such as increased accessibility, flexibility, improved engagement through visualizations, and enhanced mastery via replay (Noetel et al., 2021; Kay, 2012). Research on heutagogy focuses on theoretical development (Blaschke, 2012; Blaschke and Hase, 2019) and its application in higher education and professional development, emphasizing learner agency and capability development. However, a significant gap exists in the systematic exploration of how the specific affordances of instructional videos directly support and enhance the core principles and processes of heutagogy. This paper bridges that gap, synthesizing insights from instructional technology, educational technology, learning sciences, and heutagogical theory to provide a holistic understanding.

The Concept and Historical Development of Instructional Videos

The concept of using moving images for instruction dates back to early educational films in the 1920s-30s. Television expanded reach in the mid-20th century (e.g., educational programming, telecourses) (NOUN 2013). The advent of the VCR allowed for time-shifting and repeated viewing. The digital revolution marked a paradigm shift: CD-ROMs enabled interactive elements, but the true explosion came with widespread internet access and video sharing platforms like Vimeo, MetaCafe, YouTube, Khan Academy, (YouTube's launch in 2005 being pivotal). Technologies like affordable digital cameras, smartphones, screencasting software like Camtasia, Screencast-O-Matic, and easy editing tools democratized video creation. The rise of MOOCs (c. 2012) further cemented video as a primary online learning modality. This evolution reflects a trajectory towards greater accessibility, user control, and integration into diverse learning ecosystems, aligning perfectly with the learner-centric ethos of heutagogy.

The Concept of Heutagogy

Heutagogy is a learning approach that focuses on self-determined learning. Coined by Stewart Hase and Chris Kenyon, it is a progression from pedagogy (teacher-led learning) and andragogy (adult learning). While andragogy focuses on self-directed learning, where learners may choose their path but within a framework set by an instructor, heutagogy takes this a step further by giving the learner full autonomy over their learning process. The core idea is to empower learners to not only decide *what* they learn, but also *how* they learn, and *how they will be assessed*. The goal is to develop learners who are capable, adaptable, and lifelong learners, equipped to thrive in a rapidly changing world.

Key Principles of Heutagogy

i. **Learner Agency:** The learner is the central agent in their own learning. They take full ownership of their learning journey, from setting goals to choosing resources and assessing their own progress.
ii. **Self-Efficacy and Capability:** Heutagogy emphasizes developing a learner's confidence in their ability to learn (self-efficacy) and the capacity to apply what they have learned to new and unfamiliar situations (capability).
iii. **Metacognition and Reflection:** Learners are encouraged to think about their own learning processes, reflect on their experiences, and make adjustments to their strategies. This "double-loop learning" is crucial for continuous improvement.
iv. **Non-linear Learning:** Learning is not a pre-defined, sequential process. Heutagogy acknowledges that learners can explore topics in a way that makes sense to them, connecting ideas and discovering new knowledge as they go.

v. **Learning How to Learn:** The focus shifts from simply acquiring knowledge to developing the skills necessary to learn independently and effectively throughout one's life.

In a heutagogical environment, the role of the teacher is not to be a repository of knowledge but a facilitator and mentor. The teacher creates a supportive ecosystem where learners are encouraged to explore, collaborate, and reflect, and where the curriculum is flexible enough to accommodate individual learning paths. This approach is particularly relevant for higher education, professional development, and distance learning, where learners are often mature, self-motivated adults.

Theoretical Foundations and Educational Models

The efficacy of instructional videos for heutagogy is underpinned by several key educational theories and models built by educational experts based on research and classroom experience. Among some of these are:

1. **Heutagogy (Hase and Kenyon, 2000, 2013):** Central tenets include learner agency, self-determination, capability development (beyond competence), double-loop learning (questioning underlying assumptions), and non-linear learning paths. Videos empower learners to choose what to learn, when, and how (pace, repetition).

2. Constructivism. as a major learning theory formulated by Jean Piaget 1950 states that a learner will make his/her own interpretation and knowledge based on his/her own experiences about the world through interaction and reasoning with what they have experienced. Learning is an active process of constructing knowledge. Videos provide rich stimuli and contexts for learners to connect new information to prior knowledge and experiences, especially when designed to provoke inquiry.

3. **Cognitive Load Theory** (Sweller et al., 2011): Videos can manage intrinsic load (complexity of material) and reduce extraneous load (poor presentation) through effective visual design, narration, and segmentation (microlearning), freeing cognitive resources for germane load (schema construction) essential for deep, self-determined learning.

4. Connectivism (Siemens, 2005): Learning resides in networks. Videos act as nodes within personal learning networks (PLNs), allowing learners to connect concepts and access diverse expertise globally, facilitating the "know-where" crucial in heutagogy.

5. **Universal Design for Learning (UDL) (CAST, 2018):** Videos offer multiple means of representation (visual, auditory, text captions), engagement (choice, relevance), and expression (models for tasks), supporting diverse learners in self-directing their paths.

Applications of Instructional Videos in Heutagogy Instructional videos facilitate heutagogy across numerous contexts as shown below

1. **Skill Acquisition and Mastery**: Learners seek specific procedural or conceptual videos (e.g., coding on freeCodeCamp, DIY repairs, dance steps, football skills, sowing skills on Platforms like Vimeo, MetaCafe, YouTube, Khan Academy, MOOCs and many other software tutorials, controlling pace and repetition for mastery.

2. **Personal Interest Exploration**: Learners delve deeply into hobbies, passions, or niche topics (e.g., art techniques, history deep dives, language learning) driven purely by intrinsic motivation.

3. **Flipped and Blended Learning:** Videos provide foundational knowledge outside the four walls of a class, freeing face-to-face time for collaborative, problem-based activities that develop higher-order capabilities and learner agency.

4**. Just-in-Time Learning:** Professionals access micro-videos to solve immediate problems or learn new tools required for their work, embodying double-loop learning in practice. Some technological gadgets come with video manuals and how to troubleshoot simple challenges. This has ignited passion in many to become technicians.

5. **Building Personal Learning Environments (PLEs):** Learners curate playlists or collections of videos from diverse sources, constructing personalized curricula aligned with their goals.

6. **Building a Foundation for Difficult Tasks**: Videos provide step-by-step guidance or inspiration for self-directed projects, from research methodologies to creative endeavors.

7. **Supporting Metacognition:** Videos explaining learning strategies, critical thinking frameworks, or reflection techniques empower learners to "learn how to learn."

Challenges of Instructional Videos in Heutagogy

Despite the enumerated potentials, significant challenges exist thus:

1. **Passive Consumption:** in some ways, videos can encourage passive watching without deep processing, reflection, or application, contradicting active heutagogical principles especially where they lack interactive features and the learners too and not very determined.
2. **Information Overload and Quality Variance**: The sheer volume of content makes finding high-quality, accurate, and pedagogically sound videos difficult. Misinformation is a risk as it lacks human interactions.
3. **Digital Divide and Equity**: Unequal access to reliable internet, devices, and digital literacy skills creates barriers to utilizing video resources effectively.
4. **Learner Self-Regulation and Metacognition**: Effective self-directed video learning requires strong skills in goal setting, time management, focus, self-assessment, and reflection, which not all learners possess initially.
5. **Lack of Interaction and Feedback**: Traditional videos are often one-way communication, lacking opportunities for immediate clarification, personalized feedback, or social negotiation of meaning. This makes instructional videos better for people with very high level of discipline and determination.
6. **Cognitive Overload**: Poorly designed videos (e.g., fast pace, irrelevant visuals, dense narration), poor use of language, can overwhelm learners.
7. **Assessment Challenges:** Measuring deep learning and capability development stemming from self-directed video use is complex within traditional assessment frameworks.

Best Practices for Heutagogically-Aligned Videos

To maximize the potential of instructional videos for self-determined learning, design and implementation should adhere to best practices thus:

1. **Microlearning and Segmentation**: Break content into short, focused chunks (5-15 minutes) addressing specific concepts or skills, allowing learner control over sequencing and pacing. It is very important to use simple and clear words for easy understanding.
2. **Active Learning Integration:** Embed prompts for reflection, prediction, summarization, application exercises, or pauses for note-taking. Utilize interactive elements (quizzes, branching scenarios) where possible.
3. **Focus on Why and How, Not Just What**: Explain the relevance and applicability of the content to encourage learner agency and double-loop learning. Model problem-solving processes.
4. **High-Quality Production and Design:** Adhere to multimedia principles: clear audio, concise scripting, relevant visuals, signaling, spatial/temporal contiguity (Mayer, 2021). Use animations/diagrams effectively.
5. **Provide Guidance and Support**: Provide guiding questions, supplementary resources (readings, links), glossaries, or structured note-taking templates alongside videos.
6. **Promote Metacognition**: Include explicit prompts for learners to reflect on their understanding, learning strategies used, and connections to prior knowledge/goals. Suggest self-assessment techniques.
7. **Universal Design for Learning (UDL):** Provide accurate captions, transcripts, offer multiple representations of key concepts, and ensure player accessibility.
8. **Curated Pathways and Context:** While promoting agency, offer suggested sequences or playlists for complex topics and provide context about the video's purpose and level.
9. **Foster Community (When Applicable**): Link videos to discussion forums or social media groups where learners can ask questions, share insights, and collaborate.

Summary

This paper has argued that instructional videos are powerful, albeit complex, tools for fostering heutagogy in the 21st century. Their inherent affordances: accessibility, flexibility, learner control over pace and place, and multimodal presentation align strongly with the core principles of self-determined learning: agency, capability development, and metacognition. While instructional videos empower learners to pursue personalized learning paths, master skills on demand, explore interests deeply, and build personal learning environments. However, realizing this potential requires moving beyond passive consumption. Challenges related to learner self-regulation, content quality, equity, and the potential for cognitive overload must be actively addressed.

CONCLUSION

The convergence of the heutagogical imperative and the ubiquity of instructional video technology present a transformative opportunity for education. Instructional Videos are not a panacea, but when designed and implemented with heutagogical principles at the forefront, they become indispensable resources or tools for developing the self-determined learners the 21st century demands. They shift the locus of control towards the learner, enabling the development of not just specific competencies, but the broader capabilities, critical thinking, adaptability, self-awareness, and the ability to learn independently essential for navigating an uncertain future. The future of learning lies in harnessing technologies like video not just to deliver content, but to empower learners to take ownership of their entire learning journey.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **For Designers and Educators:** Prioritize active learning design principles (reflection prompts, interactivity, application tasks) over passive content delivery. Explicitly integrate metacognitive systems. Adhere strictly to UDL guidelines and multimedia learning principles.
2. **For Institutions and Platforms**: Develop robust curation and quality assurance mechanisms for instructional video repositories. Invest in tools enabling interactivity and analytics within video platforms. Provide professional development on creating heutagogically-aligned videos.
3. **For Learners**: Develop intentionality in video use: set clear learning goals, actively engage (notes, summaries, practice), critically evaluate sources, and regularly reflect on the learning process. Utilize available support (captions, transcripts, playback speed).
4. **For Researchers**: Conduct longitudinal studies on the impact of specific video design features on heutagogical outcomes (capability development, self-efficacy, metacognition). Investigate effective strategies for supporting learner self-regulation in video-rich environments. Explore equitable access solutions and culturally responsive video design.
5. **For Policymakers**: Prioritize funding for broadband infrastructure and device access to bridge the digital divide. Support initiatives promoting digital literacy and critical media consumption skills essential for heutagogical video use.

REFERENCES

Blaschke, L. M. (2012). Heutagogy and lifelong learning: A review of heutagogical practice and self-determined learning. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 13(1), 56–71. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v13i1.1076

Blaschke, L. M., & Hase, S. (2019). Heutagogy, technology, and lifelong learning for professional and part-time learners. In M. Peters (Ed.), Encyclopedia of educational philosophy and theory (pp. 1-6). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-532-7_640-1

Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013, June). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. In ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Atlanta, GA. https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--22585

CAST. (2018). Universal Design for Learning Guidelines version 2.2. http://udlguidelines.cast.org

Kenyon, C., & Hase, S. (2010). Andragogy and heutagogy in postgraduate work. In T. Kerry (Ed.), Meeting the challenges of change in postgraduate education (pp. 165-177). Continuum.

Hase, S., & Kenyon, C. (2013). Self-determined learning: Heutagogy in action. Bloomsbury Academic.

Kay, R. H. (2012). Exploring the use of video podcasts in education: A comprehensive review of the literature. Computers in Human Behavior, 28(3), 820–831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.01.011

Mayer, R. E. (2001). Multimedia learning. New York: Cambridge university press

Mayer, R. E. & Moreno, R (2002). Animation as an aid to multimedia learning. *Educational Psychology review*, 14, 87-99

Mayer, R. E., Heiser, j & Lonn, S. (2001). Cognitive constraints on multimedia: when presenting more material results in less understanding. *Journal of educational psychology*, 93, 187-198

Mayer, R. E. (2021). Multimedia learning (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

NOUN (EDT 821) (2013). Instructional task analysis and psychological basis of instruction National open university of Nigeria.

NOUN (EDT 832) (2013). Preparation, utilization and integration of educational media in the curriculum. National open university of Nigeria.

Noetel, M., Griffith, S., Delaney, O., Sanders, T., Parker, P., del Pozo Cruz, B., & Lonsdale, C. (2021). Video improves learning in higher education: A systematic review. Review of Educational Research, 91(2), 204–236. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654321990713

Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3-10. http://www.itdl.org/Journal/Jan_05/article01.htm

Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). Cognitive load theory. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8126-4

Tyonyion, R. S. & Zakari, M. J. (2025) Instructional analysis: the basis of effective instructional delivery. International journal of research publication and reviews, volume 6, issue 7. https://ijrpr.com/uploads/v6isse7/ijrpr50524.pdf

Zakari, M. J. & Tyonyion, R. S. (2025), The right place of technological tools in the classroom: the lenses of instructional technology expert. 1(3), july-september. 2025 pages 12-21 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16324777