International Journal of Spectrum Research in Environmental & Energy Studies (IJSREES)
2(2), April-June, 2026, Pages 1-7

© Noble City Publishers ISSN: 3092-9555

Doi: https://www.ijsrjournal.com/ijsrees.v2i1.2026.ijsr-269720

Sustainable Architectural Strategies for A University Learning Hub: Enhancing Adaptability and Collaboration Through Flexible Space Planning At Caleb University

E. G. Oyeleye[1], & C. T. Odefadehan[2]

enoch.oyeleye@calebuniversity.edu.ng , christian.odefadehan@calebuniversity.edu.ng

[1 & 2] Department of Architecture, College of Environmental Sciences and Management, Caleb University, Imota, Lagos State, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

The transformation of contemporary pedagogical models and technological integration has significantly altered spatial requirements in higher education environments. Conventional university buildings, often designed with rigid and mono-functional layouts, no longer adequately support collaborative, interdisciplinary, and hybrid learning systems. This study examines sustainable architectural strategies for the development of a university learning hub at Caleb University, Lagos, with a focus on enhancing adaptability and collaboration through flexible space planning. Using a qualitative and case-based approach, the research explores how modular layouts, reconfigurable partitions, multifunctional learning zones, and climate-responsive design strategies can promote environmental sustainability and long-term spatial resilience. Within the Nigerian context—where institutions face rapid student population growth and energy challenges—the study positions flexibility as a key driver of sustainable campus development. The findings suggest that adaptable learning environments not only improve building performance and lifecycle efficiency but also foster student interaction, innovation, and academic productivity. The study concludes that integrating sustainability principles with flexible spatial systems is essential for creating resilient and future-ready university learning hubs.

Keywords: Sustainable Architecture, Flexible Space Planning, Adaptability, Collaborative Learning, University Learning Hub**.**

INTRODUCTION

The transformation of higher education environments in the 21st century has significantly altered architectural expectations within university campuses. The shift toward collaborative, interdisciplinary, and technology-integrated learning has rendered many traditional academic buildings spatially and environmentally inadequate. Sustainable architectural strategies have therefore become central to campus development, particularly in rapidly urbanizing regions where environmental pressures and infrastructural limitations intersect. In tropical cities such as Lagos, Nigeria, climate stress, high-density development, and increasing energy demand intensify the urgency for environmentally responsive institutional architecture (Afolabi et al., 2025).

The Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect remains one of the most pressing environmental challenges affecting Lagos. Rising surface temperatures increase indoor heat gain, elevate cooling loads, and reduce occupant comfort within buildings. Afolabi et al. (2025) demonstrate that green facade systems significantly reduce surface temperatures by 2–5°C through shading and evapotranspiration mechanisms. Their study further emphasizes that such nature-based cooling strategies enhance biodiversity, improve urban air quality, and lower energy consumption in dense tropical environments. These findings suggest that climate-responsive envelope systems should form an integral component of sustainable university buildings, particularly in learning hubs where thermal comfort directly affects cognitive performance and productivity (Afolabi et al., 2025).

Beyond envelope strategies, material selection plays a decisive role in achieving sustainable building performance. The environmental implications of conventional sandcrete blocks, including high embodied energy and thermal inefficiency, have prompted increased interest in alternative materials. Ibitoye (2025) critically analyzes the performance of Interlocking Stabilized Soil Blocks (ISSB) and concludes that the material offers enhanced thermal mass properties, structural stability, and reduced carbon

footprint when compared to conventional construction systems. The study highlights that ISSB technology reduces reliance on energy-intensive firing processes and minimizes transportation emissions due to its use of locally sourced materials (Ibitoye, 2025). These attributes position ISSB as a viable strategy for environmentally conscious institutional architecture.

Complementing this material performance analysis, Ibitoye, Abiola, and Babamboni (2023) examine the demographic and adoption patterns of ISSB buildings across selected estates in Southwestern Nigeria. Their findings reveal that while ISSB remains less dominant than sandcrete blocks, its use is gradually increasing due to affordability, durability, and sustainability benefits. The study further suggests that improved awareness, technological refinement, and policy support could significantly accelerate its adoption within Nigerian construction practices (Ibitoye et al., 2023). Such empirical evidence reinforces the argument that sustainable material innovation is not merely theoretical but increasingly feasible within the Nigerian context.

Despite the growing body of research on climate-responsive envelopes and sustainable construction materials (Afolabi et al., 2025; Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023), limited scholarship has directly connected these environmental strategies with flexible spatial planning in higher education facilities. Sustainable design within university environments must extend beyond thermal efficiency and material performance to incorporate adaptability in spatial configuration. Flexible space planning—characterized by modular systems, movable partitions, and multifunctional learning zones—enhances long-term usability and allows buildings to evolve alongside changing academic needs.

Integrating green facade systems for passive cooling (Afolabi et al, 2025) with thermally efficient construction materials such as ISSB (Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023) creates a holistic sustainability framework that can support adaptable learning environments. For a university learning hub, such integration ensures environmental performance while simultaneously enabling collaborative engagement, informal learning interaction, and technological integration. In this regard, sustainability and flexibility should not be treated as separate design objectives but as complementary strategies that enhance institutional resilience.

Within the context of Caleb University, the development of a sustainable learning hub offers an opportunity to synthesize climate-adaptive envelope systems, environmentally responsible material applications, and flexible spatial planning principles into a unified architectural model. By drawing upon empirical research on green facades and ISSB construction (Afolabi et al., 2025; Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023), this study seeks to bridge the gap between environmental sustainability research and adaptable educational space design. This research therefore investigates sustainable architectural strategies for a university learning hub, examining how climate-responsive design, material innovation, and flexible space planning can collectively enhance adaptability and collaboration within higher education environments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing scholarship on sustainable architecture within tropical urban environments underscores the growing necessity for climate-responsive design strategies, environmentally efficient construction systems, and performance-driven material innovation, particularly in rapidly urbanizing contexts such as Lagos, Nigeria, where the Urban Heat Island effect intensifies thermal discomfort and energy demand, as demonstrated by Afolabi et al. (2025), who identify green facade systems as effective passive cooling mechanisms capable of reducing surface temperatures, enhancing biodiversity, and improving urban microclimates, thereby positioning nature-based envelope interventions as critical components of climate resilience in dense metropolitan settings, while Ibitoye (2025) advances the discourse on material sustainability through a critical evaluation of Interlocking Stabilized Soil Blocks (ISSB), emphasizing their structural stability, thermal mass properties, reduced embodied energy, and lower carbon footprint compared to conventional sandcrete blocks, and further reinforced by Ibitoye et al. (2023), whose empirical investigation into ISSB housing estates across Southwestern Nigeria reveals increasing but still limited adoption of the technology due to awareness, perception, and institutional support constraints, collectively illustrating that although substantial progress has been made in advancing environmentally adaptive envelopes and sustainable material systems within Nigerian architectural research, there remains a notable deficiency in scholarly integration of these sustainability principles with flexible spatial planning strategies in higher education architecture, particularly in relation to university learning hubs where

adaptability, collaboration, and multifunctional spatial configurations are essential for supporting evolving pedagogical models, technological integration, and long-term institutional resilience.

Conceptual Review

The concept of sustainable architectural strategies within higher education environments is rooted in the integration of environmental performance, material responsibility, and spatial adaptability, particularly in rapidly urbanizing tropical contexts where climatic stress and infrastructural expansion intersect. Sustainability in architecture is no longer limited to energy efficiency but encompasses climate responsiveness, lifecycle performance, and long-term resilience in building use and transformation. In cities such as Lagos, where urban heat intensity continues to escalate, climate-adaptive architectural solutions are essential for maintaining thermal comfort and reducing cooling loads, as demonstrated by Afolabi et al. (2025), who identify green facade systems as effective passive interventions capable of lowering surface temperatures and enhancing environmental quality. Their findings reinforce the principle that building envelopes function as active environmental mediators rather than static protective shells, thereby positioning facade systems as critical sustainability components in institutional design (Afolabi et al., 2025).

Material sustainability constitutes another foundational concept within contemporary architectural discourse, particularly in developing economies where construction practices significantly influence environmental degradation and resource consumption. Ibitoye (2025) critically evaluates Interlocking Stabilized Soil Blocks (ISSB) as a sustainable construction alternative, emphasizing their structural stability, thermal mass performance, and reduced carbon footprint when compared to conventional sandcrete systems. The thermal mass properties of ISSB contribute to passive temperature moderation, reducing reliance on mechanical cooling systems and thereby aligning material innovation with environmental sustainability objectives (Ibitoye, 2025). Supporting this material-based sustainability perspective, Ibitoye et al. (2023) document the increasing adoption of ISSB technology in selected estates in Southwestern Nigeria, noting its affordability, durability, and environmental advantages despite moderate public acceptance. The convergence of climate-responsive envelope systems (Afolabi et al., 2025) and sustainable material innovation (Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023) forms the conceptual foundation for environmentally responsible educational architecture.

Within university learning hubs, however, sustainability must also integrate flexibility as a conceptual dimension, since educational spaces are subject to pedagogical shifts, technological integration, and fluctuating student populations. Flexible space planning enables buildings to adapt spatially without requiring structural overhauls, thereby extending building lifespan and improving functional resilience. Although Afolabi et al. (2025) emphasize environmental envelope adaptation and Ibitoye (2025) and Ibitoye et al. (2023) focus on material sustainability, there remains limited conceptual integration of these sustainability strategies with adaptable spatial systems in higher education environments, thereby indicating the need for a holistic sustainability model that merges environmental performance with collaborative spatial planning.

Theoretical Review

The theoretical foundation of this study is grounded in Sustainable Design Theory, Climate-Responsive Architecture Theory, and Adaptive Space Theory, which collectively provide a framework for integrating environmental responsibility with spatial flexibility in institutional architecture. Sustainable Design Theory posits that buildings must minimize environmental impact while maximizing occupant well-being through passive design, efficient material systems, and lifecycle thinking, a perspective that aligns with the environmental mitigation strategies identified by Afolabi et al. (2025), whose research demonstrates the capacity of vegetated facade systems to regulate microclimates and reduce thermal stress in urban contexts. Climate-Responsive Architecture Theory further reinforces this position by asserting that architectural form, orientation, and envelope systems must be tailored to local climatic conditions, a principle validated by Afolabi et al. (2025) in their assessment of green facades within Lagos' tropical climate.

Material Performance Theory also informs this research by emphasizing the role of construction materials in influencing thermal regulation, structural stability, and environmental footprint, as demonstrated by Ibitoye (2025), whose analysis of ISSB technology highlights its passive thermal benefits and reduced embodied energy, and further supported by Ibitoye et al. (2023), who underscore the socio-

economic and environmental viability of ISSB in Nigerian estates. Adaptive Space Theory complements these sustainability perspectives by arguing that built environments must accommodate changing user needs through modularity, reconfigurability, and multifunctionality, thereby aligning flexibility with long-term sustainability. The integration of these theoretical perspectives establishes a framework through which environmental responsiveness, material sustainability, and spatial adaptability can be synthesized in the design of a university learning hub.

Empirical Review

Empirical studies examining sustainable architectural strategies in Nigeria primarily concentrate on environmental mitigation and material innovation, with limited extension into educational spatial adaptability. Afolabi et al. (2025), through qualitative analysis and comparative case evaluation, provide measurable evidence that green facade systems can reduce surface temperatures by 2–5°C while simultaneously enhancing biodiversity and lowering cooling demand in Lagos, thereby empirically validating climate-responsive envelope strategies in tropical urban settings. Similarly, Ibitoye (2025) presents performance-based findings indicating that ISSB construction enhances thermal insulation, reduces carbon emissions, and improves structural efficiency when compared to traditional sandcrete block systems, thereby empirically supporting the viability of sustainable construction materials in Nigeria.

Further empirical evidence provided by Ibitoye et al. (2023) documents the demographic distribution and performance characteristics of ISSB buildings across selected estates, revealing both the environmental benefits and the adoption challenges associated with sustainable construction practices in Southwestern Nigeria. While these empirical studies establish clear environmental and material sustainability outcomes (Afolabi et al., 2025; Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023), they do not directly evaluate flexible spatial planning within university learning environments, thereby creating an opportunity for research that integrates measurable environmental strategies with adaptable educational space design.

Gaps in Literature

Although existing research provides strong empirical support for climate-responsive envelope systems (Afolabi et al., 2025) and sustainable construction materials such as ISSB (Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023), the literature reveals a significant deficiency in integrating these environmental strategies with flexible space planning within higher education facilities. Current studies predominantly address residential or urban-scale sustainability challenges without examining how such strategies can be applied to collaborative learning hubs in private university contexts. Furthermore, limited research explores the intersection between environmental sustainability and spatial adaptability as mutually reinforcing design objectives in Nigerian university architecture, thereby underscoring the need for a comprehensive framework that synthesizes envelope innovation, material performance, and flexible spatial systems in institutional environments.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopted a qualitative research design supported by case-based inquiry and document analysis in order to examine sustainable architectural strategies applicable to a university learning hub at Caleb University, with particular emphasis on environmental responsiveness, material sustainability, and flexible space planning. The qualitative approach was considered appropriate because the research seeks to synthesize environmental performance principles with spatial adaptability concepts rather than generate statistical generalizations, thereby allowing for interpretive analysis of existing sustainability studies and contextual architectural needs. Secondary data were obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles examining climate-responsive facade systems and sustainable material technologies within Nigerian contexts, particularly the works of Afolabi et al. (2025), Ibitoye (2025), and Ibitoye et al. (2023), which provided empirical foundations for envelope performance and ISSB construction evaluation. In addition to literature analysis, contextual assessment of institutional needs at Caleb University was undertaken through observational review of spatial patterns, projected student population growth, and emerging pedagogical trends emphasizing collaboration and hybrid learning systems. The study further employed analytical synthesis to integrate findings from environmental mitigation research (Afolabi et al., 2025) with material performance evidence (Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023) and adaptive space planning principles, thereby constructing a conceptual framework for a sustainable and flexible university learning

hub. This methodological approach ensured that environmental data, material performance evidence, and spatial adaptability principles were critically examined within a unified architectural strategy rather than treated as isolated variables.

Findings

The findings of this study are organized around demographic context, environmental performance implications, material sustainability evaluation, and spatial adaptability integration, collectively illustrating the multidimensional requirements for a sustainable university learning hub. The demographic analysis reveals that higher education institutions in rapidly urbanizing regions such as Lagos are experiencing steady growth in student enrollment, interdisciplinary program expansion, and increased demand for collaborative learning environments, thereby placing pressure on existing infrastructure to accommodate fluctuating population densities and evolving academic structures. Within the broader Southwestern Nigerian context, empirical evidence from Ibitoye et al. (2023) demonstrates that housing developments utilizing sustainable ISSB technology are gradually increasing in number, indicating a growing awareness of sustainable construction practices among developers and occupants, although adoption remains comparatively lower than conventional sandcrete systems due to perception and policy limitations. This demographic pattern suggests that sustainability adoption is progressing incrementally, yet institutional-scale application within university environments remains limited, thereby reinforcing the need for structured implementation frameworks.

Environmental performance findings derived from Afolabi et al. (2025) confirm that green facade systems reduce surface temperatures by approximately 2–5°C through evapotranspiration and shading mechanisms, thereby lowering cooling demand and enhancing thermal comfort in tropical climates. When applied to a university learning hub, such temperature reduction can significantly influence occupant productivity, cognitive performance, and indoor environmental quality, particularly in spaces designed for prolonged academic engagement. The research further indicates that integrating vegetative envelope systems contributes to biodiversity enhancement and improved air quality, thereby extending sustainability benefits beyond energy efficiency toward ecological resilience (Afolabi et al., 2025). These findings suggest that climate-responsive facade systems are not merely aesthetic interventions but functional components capable of enhancing environmental performance within institutional architecture.

Material sustainability findings derived from Ibitoye (2025) reveal that ISSB construction offers improved structural stability, reduced embodied energy, enhanced thermal mass performance, and cost efficiency when compared to conventional sandcrete blocks. The inherent thermal mass properties of ISSB contribute to passive temperature regulation, reducing reliance on mechanical cooling systems and thereby aligning material selection with energy efficiency goals. Furthermore, Ibitoye et al. (2023) document empirical evidence of ISSB performance within residential estates, noting durability, affordability, and environmental advantages despite moderate public preference levels. These findings indicate that ISSB technology possesses practical viability for institutional applications, particularly in contexts where long-term cost efficiency and environmental responsibility are critical considerations.

Spatial adaptability findings demonstrate that sustainable architectural performance alone is insufficient without corresponding flexibility in spatial configuration, since university learning hubs must accommodate diverse pedagogical activities including seminars, workshops, collaborative projects, informal discussions, and hybrid digital instruction. Flexible space planning strategies such as modular layouts, movable partitions, and multifunctional zones enable spaces to transition between individual study and group collaboration without structural alteration, thereby extending building lifespan and reducing renovation costs. The integration of climate-responsive envelope systems (Afolabi et al., 2025) and sustainable material innovation (Ibitoye, 2025; Ibitoye et al., 2023) with adaptable spatial planning creates a synergistic sustainability model in which environmental efficiency and functional resilience operate concurrently.

Overall, the findings indicate that sustainable architectural strategies for a university learning hub must be multidimensional, integrating demographic responsiveness, climate adaptation, material efficiency, and spatial flexibility in order to achieve long-term institutional resilience and collaborative enhancement.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that sustainable architectural strategies for a university learning hub must extend beyond conventional energy efficiency measures to incorporate climate-responsive envelope systems, environmentally responsible material innovation, and flexible spatial planning principles capable of accommodating evolving pedagogical demands. Empirical evidence from Afolabi et al. (2025) demonstrates the environmental effectiveness of green facade systems in mitigating thermal stress within tropical urban contexts, while Ibitoye (2025) and Ibitoye et al. (2023) establish the structural and environmental viability of ISSB as a sustainable construction material within Southwestern Nigeria. However, existing research has largely examined these sustainability components independently, without adequately integrating them into adaptable university learning environments. By synthesizing environmental mitigation strategies with flexible space planning, this study contributes to expanding sustainability discourse within Nigerian higher education architecture and proposes a holistic framework for future-ready institutional design.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that university authorities prioritize the integration of climate-responsive facade systems, such as vegetative envelope strategies, in future campus developments in order to mitigate thermal stress and enhance environmental comfort in tropical climates, drawing upon the empirical findings of Afolabi et al. (2025). Institutional policymakers should further consider the adoption of sustainable construction materials such as Interlocking Stabilized Soil Blocks in appropriate structural and non-structural applications to reduce embodied energy and improve passive thermal performance, consistent with the findings of Ibitoye (2025) and Ibitoye et al. (2023). Additionally, architectural design strategies for university learning hubs should incorporate modular and reconfigurable spatial systems that allow for seamless adaptation to evolving academic programs, interdisciplinary collaboration, and hybrid learning formats. Government agencies and professional bodies are encouraged to develop policy incentives and technical guidelines that promote the integration of environmental envelope innovation and sustainable material systems within institutional architecture. Finally, further research should investigate quantitative performance metrics for flexible university learning hubs in Nigeria, particularly examining long-term energy consumption patterns, occupant satisfaction levels, and spatial utilization efficiency to strengthen empirical validation of integrated sustainability frameworks.

REFERENCES

Adenaike, F. A., Opoko, A. P., & Kosoko, R. A. (2020). Physical planning policies on Lagos Island and their effects on urban morphology. *Urban and Regional Planning, 5*(2), 50–62.

Afolabi, A. T., Ibitoye, A. O., Kalu, C. G., & Olaoye, G. (2025). Green facade systems as a sustainable solution for urban heat island mitigation in Lagos State. *African Journal of Environmental Sciences & Renewable Energy, 19*(1), 61–72.

Al-Saji, A. (2014). Bodies and sensing: On the uses of Husserlian phenomenology for feminist theory. *Continental Philosophy Review, 47*(4), 479–499.

Arunab, K. S., & Mathew, A. (2024). Quantifying urban heat island and pollutant nexus: A novel geospatial approach. *Sustainable Cities and Society, 101*, 105117.

Aung, T., Bhaumik, A., Htet, A., & Liana, S. R. (2023). Implementing green facades: A step towards sustainable smart buildings. *Journal of Smart Cities and Society, 2*(1), 45–60.

Ayanlade, A., Aigbiremolen, M. I., & Oladosu, O. R. (2021). Variations in urban land surface temperature intensity over four cities in different ecological zones. *Scientific Reports, 11*(1), 20537.

Bassett, R., Young, P. J., Blair, G. S., Samreen, F., & Simm, A. W. (2020). The megacity Lagos and three decades of urban heat island growth. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 59*(10), 1675–1683.

Fleck, R., Hsu, Y., & Chen, L. (2022). Energy efficiency impacts of vertical greenery systems in high-rise buildings. *Energy and Buildings, 256*, 111763.

George, K. (2014). Soil-cement block production and performance standards in tropical environments. *Journal of Construction Materials Research, 8*(3), 120–131.

Hajer Khaled Jabbar, M., et al. (2023). Urban heat island dynamics and mitigation strategies in high-density cities. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 195*(4), 512–528.

Ibitoye, O. A. (2025). A critical analysis of interlocking stabilized soil blocks (ISSB) in residential architecture: A case study of Obayemi House, Redemption Camp, and Ogun State. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 6*(3), 562–569.

Ibitoye, O. A., Abiola, O. A., & Babamboni, A. S. (2023). Demographic characteristics of housing estates developed with ISSB technology in selected Southwestern Nigerian cities. *FUDMA Journal of Sciences, 7*(2), 275–283.

Isunju, J., et al. (2022). Vertical greenery systems and thermal performance in European cities. *Urban Climate, 42*, 101112.

Nambatya, C. (2015). Interlocking stabilized soil blocks: Construction methodology and performance evaluation. *Journal of Sustainable Building Technology, 4*(2), 88–97.

Ogila, W. (2021). Lime stabilization techniques in earthen construction. *Construction Materials Journal, 35*(1), 15–28.

Olaleye, B., & Ibitoye, O. A. (2023). Interlocking stabilized soil blocks as alternative building materials in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Construction Practices, 12*(1), 44–58.

Pacini, C., et al. (2022). Policy integration of green infrastructure in rapidly urbanizing cities. *Sustainable Urban Development Review, 18*(2), 90–105.

Ramdas, V., Singh, R., & Kumar, S. (2021). Mechanical stabilization of earthen construction materials. *Materials Today: Proceedings, 47*, 3658–3663.

Sanewu, A. (2020). Hydraulic press moulding of stabilized soil blocks: Performance implications. *African Construction Journal, 9*(4), 201–213.

Sayad, S., et al. (2024). Environmental benefits of facade greening systems in urban climates. *Journal of Green Building, 19*(2), 133–150.

Singh, P., Tan, J., & Lee, K. (2024). Green envelopes in humid tropical climates: Lessons from Singapore. *Building and Environment, 241*, 110456.

Wonorahardjo, S., Sutanto, H., & Putra, R. (2022). High-density development and urban heat intensification. *Urban Environmental Studies, 14*(3), 225–240.

Yadav, N., Rajendra, K., Awasthi, A., Singh, C., & Bhushan, B. (2023). Systematic exploration of heat wave impact on mortality and urban heat island: A review from 2000 to 2022. *Urban Climate, 51*, 101622.

Yadav, N., & Singh, C. (2024). Urban heat island severity and mitigation pathways in developing cities. *Climate Risk Management, 42*, 100523.